


Section	1	2	3	4
Length (ft)	37.000	40.000	40.000	45.000
Number of Sides	18	18	18	18
Thickness (in)	0.219	0.250	0.313	0.375
Lap Splice (ft)		3.750	4.750	5.500
Top Dia (in)	22.000	28.380	35.106	41.554
Bot Dia (in)	29.586	36.590	43.307	50.780
Grade			A572-65	
Weight (K)	2.3	3.5	5.3	8.4



APPURTENANCES


TYPE	ELEVATION	TYPE	ELEVATION
Lighting Rod 5/8x4'	152	(12) DB874H	122
(12) DB874H	150	Pirol 15' Low Profile Rotable Platform	122
Pirol 15' Low Profile Rotable Platform	150	(12) DB874H	107
(12) DB874H	137	Pirol 15' Low Profile Rotable Platform	107
Pirol 15' Low Profile Rotable Platform	137		

MATERIAL STRENGTH


GRADE	Fy	Fu	GRADE	Fy	Fu
A572-65	65 ksi	80 ksi			

TOWER DESIGN NOTES



1. Tower designed for a 85 mph basic wind in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F Standard.
2. Tower is also designed for a 74 mph basic wind with 0.50 in ice.
3. Deflections are based upon a 50 mph wind.
4. TOWER RATING: 99.3%



 <p>Computerized Structural Design 8989 N. Port Washington Road Milwaukee, WI 53217 Phone: (414) 351-5588 FAX: (414) 351-4617</p>	Job: Example #2		
	Project: Summit Pole		
	Client: CSD	Drawn by: Dan Horn	App'd:
	Code: TIA/EIA-222-F	Date: 12/22/03	Scale: NTS
	Path: C:\MSDEV\PROJECTS\IER\Tower\Debug\Samples\summitpole.er		Dwg No. E-1



 Consulting Engineers	Computerized Structural Design 8989 N. Port Washington Road Milwaukee, WI 53217 Phone: (414) 351-5588 FAX: (414) 351-4617		Job: Example #2	
	Project: Summit Pole			
	Client: CSD	Drawn by: Dan Horn	App'd:	
	Code: TIA/EIA-222-F	Date: 12/22/03	Scale: NTS	
	Path: C:\MSDEV\PROJECTS\IERITower\Debug\Samples\summitpole.er		Dwg No. E-5	



FOUNDATION NOTES

1. Plate thickness is 2.500 in.
2. Plate grade is A36.
3. Anchor bolt grade is A325X.
4. f_c is 4 ksi.

 <p>Computerized Structural Design 8989 N. Port Washington Road Milwaukee, WI 53217 Phone: (414) 351-5588 FAX: (414) 351-4617</p>	Job: Example #2		
	Project: Summit Pole		
	Client: CSD	Drawn by: Dan Horn	App'd:
	Code: TIA/EIA-222-F	Date: 12/22/03	Scale: NTS
	Path: C:\MSDEV\PROJECTS\IERITower\Debug\Samples\summitpole.er		Dwg No. F-1

ERITower Computerized Structural Design 8989 N. Port Washington Road Milwaukee, WI 53217 Phone: (414) 351-5588 FAX: (414) 351-4617	Job Example #2	Page 1 of 14
	Project Summit Pole	Date 13:11:42 12/22/03
	Client CSD	Designed by Dan Horn

Tower Input Data

There is a pole section.

This tower is designed using the TIA/EIA-222-F standard.

The following design criteria apply:

Basic wind speed of 85 mph.

Nominal ice thickness of 0.500 in.

Ice density of 56 pcf.

A wind speed of 74 mph is used in combination with ice.

Deflections calculated using a wind speed of 50 mph.

A non-linear (P-delta) analysis was used.

Pressures are calculated at each section.

Stress ratio used in pole design is 1.333.

Local bending stresses due to climbing loads and feedline supports are not considered.

Options

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider Moments - Legs Consider Moments - Horizontals Consider Moments - Diagonals Use Moment Magnification v Use Code Stress Ratios v Use Code Safety Factors - Guys Escalate Ice Always Use Max Kz Use Special Wind Profile v Include Bolts In Member Capacity v Leg Bolts Are At Top Of Section v Secondary Horizontal Braces Leg | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Distribute Leg Loads As Uniform Assume Legs Pinned Assume Rigid Index Plate Use Clear Spans For Wind Area Use Clear Spans For KL/r Retension Guys To Initial Tension v Bypass Mast Stability Checks Use Azimuth Dish Coefficients Project Wind Area of Appurt. Autocalc Torque Arm Areas v SR Members Have Cut Ends Sort Capacity Reports By Component | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Treat Feedline Bundles As Cylinder Use ASCE 10 X-Brace Ly Rules v Calculate Redundant Bracing Forces Ignore Redundant Members in FEA v SR Leg Bolts Resist Compression v All Leg Panels Have Same Allowable Offset Girt At Foundation Consider Feedline Torque v Include Angle Block Shear Check <li style="text-align: center;">Poles Include Shear-Torsion Interaction Always Use Sub-Critical Flow Use Top Mounted Sockets |
|--|---|--|

Tapered Pole Section Geometry

Section	Elevation ft	Section Length ft	Splice Length ft	Number of Sides	Top Diameter in	Bottom Diameter in	Wall Thickness in	Bend Radius in	Pole Grade
L1	148.000- 111.000	37.000	3.750	18	22.000	29.586	0.219	0.875	A572-65 (65 ksi)
L2	111.000-74.750	40.000	4.750	18	28.380	36.580	0.250	1.000	A572-65 (65 ksi)
L3	74.750-39.500	40.000	5.500	18	35.106	43.307	0.313	1.250	A572-65 (65 ksi)
L4	39.500-0.000	45.000		18	41.554	50.780	0.375	1.250	A572-65 (65 ksi)

Tapered Pole Properties

ERITower Computerized Structural Design 8989 N. Port Washington Road Milwaukee, WI 53217 Phone: (414) 351-5588 FAX: (414) 351-4617	Job Example #2	Page 2 of 14
	Project Summit Pole	Date 13:11:42 12/22/03
	Client CSD	Designed by Dan Horn

Section	Tip Dia. in	Area in ²	I in ⁴	r in	C in	I/C in ³	J in ⁴	I/Q in ²	w in	w/t
L1	22.339	15.123	906.444	7.732	11.176	81.106	1814.080	7.563	3.487	15.941
	30.042	20.390	2221.684	10.425	15.030	147.820	4446.291	10.197	4.822	22.044
L2	29.598	22.321	2231.399	9.986	14.417	154.777	4465.735	11.163	4.555	18.219
	37.144	28.828	4807.071	12.897	18.583	258.686	9620.468	14.417	5.998	23.992
L3	36.637	34.511	5278.330	12.352	17.834	295.971	10563.605	17.259	5.629	18.012
	43.975	42.645	9959.398	15.263	22.000	452.701	19931.901	21.327	7.072	22.631
L4	43.340	49.014	10500.631	14.619	21.110	497.433	21015.079	24.512	6.742	17.978
	51.563	59.995	19257.349	17.894	25.796	746.518	38540.037	30.003	8.365	22.307

Tower Elevation	Gusset Area (per face)	Gusset Thickness	Gusset Grade	Adjust. Factor A _f	Adjust. Factor A _r	Weight Mult.	Double Angle Stitch Bolt Spacing Diagonals	Double Angle Stitch Bolt Spacing Horizontals
ft	ft ²	in					in	in
L1 148.000-111.000				1	1.03	1.01		
L2 111.000-74.750				1	1.03	1.01		
L3 74.750-39.500				1	1.03	1.01		
L4 39.500-0.000				1	1.03	1.01		

Monopole Base Plate Data

Baseplate Data	
Baseplate is square	
Baseplate is grouted	v
Anchor bolt grade	A325X
Anchor bolt size	1.500 in
Number of bolts	28
Embedment length	36.000 in
f _c	4.000 ksi
Grout space	2.000 in
Baseplate grade	A36
Baseplate thickness	2.500 in
Bolt circle diameter	55.000 in
Outer diameter	60.500 in
Inner diameter	46.000 in
Baseplate type	Plain Plate

Feed Line/Linear Appurtenances - Entered As Area

Description	Face	Allow Shield	Component Type	Placement	Total Number	C _A A _A	Weight
				ft		ft ² /ft	klf
7/8	C	No	Inside Pole	148.000 - 0.000	12	No Ice	0.000
						1/2" Ice	0.000
						1" Ice	0.000
						2" Ice	0.000
						4" Ice	0.000
7/8	C	No	Inside Pole	137.000 - 0.000	12	No Ice	0.000
						1/2" Ice	0.000
						1" Ice	0.000

ERITower Computerized Structural Design 8989 N. Port Washington Road Milwaukee, WI 53217 Phone: (414) 351-5588 FAX: (414) 351-4617	Job	Example #2	Page	3 of 14
	Project	Summit Pole	Date	13:11:42 12/22/03
	Client	CSD	Designed by	Dan Horn

Description	Face	Allow Shield	Component Type	Placement ft	Total Number	C _{AA}		Weight klf
						ft ² /ft	klf	
7/8	C	No	Inside Pole	122.000 - 0.000	12	2" Ice	0.000	0.001
						4" Ice	0.000	0.001
						No Ice	0.000	0.001
						1/2" Ice	0.000	0.001
						1" Ice	0.000	0.001
						2" Ice	0.000	0.001
7/8	C	No	Inside Pole	107.000 - 0.000	12	4" Ice	0.000	0.001
						No Ice	0.000	0.001
						1/2" Ice	0.000	0.001
						1" Ice	0.000	0.001
						2" Ice	0.000	0.001
						4" Ice	0.000	0.001

Feed Line/Linear Appurtenances Section Areas

Tower Section	Tower Elevation ft	Face	A _R	A _F	C _{AA} In Face	C _{AA} Out Face	Weight K
			ft ²	ft ²	ft ²	ft ²	
L1	148.000-111.000	A	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		B	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		C	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.480
L2	111.000-74.750	A	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		B	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		C	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.914
L3	74.750-39.500	A	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		B	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		C	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.914
L4	39.500-0.000	A	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		B	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		C	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.024

Feed Line/Linear Appurtenances Section Areas - With Ice

Tower Section	Tower Elevation ft	Face	Ice Thickness	A _R	A _F	C _{AA} In Face	C _{AA} Out Face	Weight K
			in	ft ²	ft ²	ft ²	ft ²	
L1	148.000-111.000	A	0.500	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		B		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		C		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.480
L2	111.000-74.750	A	0.500	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		B		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		C		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.914
L3	74.750-39.500	A	0.500	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		B		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		C		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.914
L4	39.500-0.000	A	0.500	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		B		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		C		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.024

Discrete Tower Loads

ERITower Computerized Structural Design 8989 N. Port Washington Road Milwaukee, WI 53217 Phone: (414) 351-5588 FAX: (414) 351-4617	Job	Example #2	Page	4 of 14
	Project	Summit Pole	Date	13:11:42 12/22/03
	Client	CSD	Designed by	Dan Horn

Description	Face or Leg	Offset Type	Offsets:		Azimuth Adjustment	Placement	C _{AA} Front	C _{AA} Side	Weight
			Horz	Lateral					
Lighthing Rod 5/8x4'	C	None			0.000	152.000	No Ice 0.250 1/2" Ice 0.664 1" Ice 0.973 2" Ice 1.494 4" Ice 2.683	0.250 0.664 0.973 1.494 2.683	0.031 0.034 0.039 0.059 0.137
(12) DB874H	C	None			0.000	150.000	No Ice 5.600 1/2" Ice 6.190 1" Ice 6.780 2" Ice 7.960 4" Ice 10.320	5.600 6.190 6.780 7.960 10.320	0.015 0.047 0.079 0.143 0.271
Pirod 15' Low Profile Rotable Platform	C	None			0.000	150.000	No Ice 20.600 1/2" Ice 25.200 1" Ice 29.800 2" Ice 39.000 4" Ice 57.400	20.600 25.200 29.800 39.000 57.400	2.050 2.325 2.600 3.150 4.250
(12) DB874H	C	None			0.000	137.000	No Ice 5.600 1/2" Ice 6.190 1" Ice 6.780 2" Ice 7.960 4" Ice 10.320	5.600 6.190 6.780 7.960 10.320	0.015 0.047 0.079 0.143 0.271
Pirod 15' Low Profile Rotable Platform	C	None			0.000	137.000	No Ice 20.600 1/2" Ice 25.200 1" Ice 29.800 2" Ice 39.000 4" Ice 57.400	20.600 25.200 29.800 39.000 57.400	2.050 2.325 2.600 3.150 4.250
(12) DB874H	C	None			0.000	122.000	No Ice 5.600 1/2" Ice 6.190 1" Ice 6.780 2" Ice 7.960 4" Ice 10.320	5.600 6.190 6.780 7.960 10.320	0.015 0.047 0.079 0.143 0.271
Pirod 15' Low Profile Rotable Platform	C	None			0.000	122.000	No Ice 20.600 1/2" Ice 25.200 1" Ice 29.800 2" Ice 39.000 4" Ice 57.400	20.600 25.200 29.800 39.000 57.400	2.050 2.325 2.600 3.150 4.250
(12) DB874H	C	None			0.000	107.000	No Ice 5.600 1/2" Ice 6.190 1" Ice 6.780 2" Ice 7.960 4" Ice 10.320	5.600 6.190 6.780 7.960 10.320	0.015 0.047 0.079 0.143 0.271
Pirod 15' Low Profile Rotable Platform	C	None			0.000	107.000	No Ice 20.600 1/2" Ice 25.200 1" Ice 29.800 2" Ice 39.000 4" Ice 57.400	20.600 25.200 29.800 39.000 57.400	2.050 2.325 2.600 3.150 4.250

Tower Pressures - No Ice

$G_H = 1.690$

ERITower Computerized Structural Design 8989 N. Port Washington Road Milwaukee, WI 53217 Phone: (414) 351-5588 FAX: (414) 351-4617	Job Example #2	Page 5 of 14
	Project Summit Pole	Date 13:11:42 12/22/03
	Client CSD	Designed by Dan Horn

Section Elevation ft	z ft	K_z	q_z ksf	A_G ft ²	F a c e F ft ²	A_F ft ²	A_R ft ²	A_{leg} ft ²	Leg %	C_{AA} In Face ft ²	C_{AA} Out Face ft ²
L1 148.000-111.000	128.781	1.476	0.027	79.528	A	0.000	81.914	81.914	100.00	0.000	0.000
					B	0.000	81.914	100.00			
					C	0.000	81.914	100.00			
L2 111.000-74.750	92.445	1.342	0.025	99.277	A	0.000	102.256	102.256	100.00	0.000	0.000
					B	0.000	102.256	100.00			
					C	0.000	102.256	100.00			
L3 74.750-39.500	56.982	1.169	0.022	116.600	A	0.000	120.098	120.098	100.00	0.000	0.000
					B	0.000	120.098	100.00			
					C	0.000	120.098	100.00			
L4 39.500-0.000	19.180	1	0.018	153.823	A	0.000	158.438	158.438	100.00	0.000	0.000
					B	0.000	158.438	100.00			
					C	0.000	158.438	100.00			

Tower Pressure - With Ice

$G_H = 1.690$

Section Elevation ft	z ft	K_z	q_z ksf	t_z in	A_G ft ²	F a c e F ft ²	A_F ft ²	A_R ft ²	A_{leg} ft ²	Leg %	C_{AA} In Face ft ²	C_{AA} Out Face ft ²
L1 148.000-111.000	128.781	1.476	0.021	0.500	82.612	A	0.000	85.090	85.090	100.00	0.000	0.000
						B	0.000	85.090	100.00			
						C	0.000	85.090	100.00			
L2 111.000-74.750	92.445	1.342	0.019	0.500	102.298	A	0.000	105.367	105.367	100.00	0.000	0.000
						B	0.000	105.367	100.00			
						C	0.000	105.367	100.00			
L3 74.750-39.500	56.982	1.169	0.016	0.500	119.537	A	0.000	123.123	123.123	100.00	0.000	0.000
						B	0.000	123.123	100.00			
						C	0.000	123.123	100.00			
L4 39.500-0.000	19.180	1	0.014	0.500	157.114	A	0.000	161.828	161.828	100.00	0.000	0.000
						B	0.000	161.828	100.00			
						C	0.000	161.828	100.00			

Tower Pressure - Service

$G_H = 1.690$

Section Elevation ft	z ft	K_z	q_z ksf	A_G ft ²	F a c e F ft ²	A_F ft ²	A_R ft ²	A_{leg} ft ²	Leg %	C_{AA} In Face ft ²	C_{AA} Out Face ft ²
L1 148.000-111.000	128.781	1.476	0.009	79.528	A	0.000	81.914	81.914	100.00	0.000	0.000
					B	0.000	81.914	100.00			
					C	0.000	81.914	100.00			
L2 111.000-74.750	92.445	1.342	0.009	99.277	A	0.000	102.256	102.256	100.00	0.000	0.000
					B	0.000	102.256	100.00			
					C	0.000	102.256	100.00			
L3 74.750-39.500	56.982	1.169	0.007	116.600	A	0.000	120.098	120.098	100.00	0.000	0.000
					B	0.000	120.098	100.00			
					C	0.000	120.098	100.00			
L4 39.500-	19.180	1	0.006	153.823	A	0.000	158.438	158.438	100.00	0.000	0.000

ERITower Computerized Structural Design 8989 N. Port Washington Road Milwaukee, WI 53217 Phone: (414) 351-5588 FAX: (414) 351-4617	Job	Example #2	Page	6 of 14
	Project	Summit Pole	Date	13:11:42 12/22/03
	Client	CSD	Designed by	Dan Horn

Section Elevation ft	z ft	K _Z	q _z ksf	A _G ft ²	F _a c e	A _F ft ²	A _R ft ²	A _{leg} ft ²	Leg %	C _{AA} In Face ft ²	C _{AA} Out Face ft ²
0.000					B	0.000	158.438		100.00		
					C	0.000	158.438		100.00		

Tower Forces - No Ice - Wind Normal To Face

Section Elevation ft	Add Weight K	Self Weight K	F _a c e	e	C _F	R _R	D _F	D _R	A _E ft ²	F K	w klf	Ctrl. Face
L1 148.000-111.000	0.480	2.258	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	81.914	2.453	0.066	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	81.914			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	81.914			
L2 111.000-74.750	0.914	3.516	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	102.256	2.784	0.077	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	102.256			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	102.256			
L3 74.750-39.500	0.914	5.303	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	120.098	2.840	0.081	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	120.098			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	120.098			
L4 39.500-0.000	1.024	8.429	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	158.438	3.219	0.081	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	158.438			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	158.438			
Sum Weight:	3.331	19.507						OTM	796.848 kip-ft	11.296		

Tower Forces - No Ice - Wind 60 To Face

Section Elevation ft	Add Weight K	Self Weight K	F _a c e	e	C _F	R _R	D _F	D _R	A _E ft ²	F K	w klf	Ctrl. Face
L1 148.000-111.000	0.480	2.258	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	81.914	2.453	0.066	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	81.914			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	81.914			
L2 111.000-74.750	0.914	3.516	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	102.256	2.784	0.077	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	102.256			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	102.256			
L3 74.750-39.500	0.914	5.303	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	120.098	2.840	0.081	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	120.098			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	120.098			
L4 39.500-0.000	1.024	8.429	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	158.438	3.219	0.081	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	158.438			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	158.438			
Sum Weight:	3.331	19.507						OTM	796.848 kip-ft	11.296		

Tower Forces - No Ice - Wind 90 To Face

ERITower Computerized Structural Design 8989 N. Port Washington Road Milwaukee, WI 53217 Phone: (414) 351-5588 FAX: (414) 351-4617	Job	Example #2	Page	7 of 14
	Project	Summit Pole	Date	13:11:42 12/22/03
	Client	CSD	Designed by	Dan Horn

Section Elevation	Add Weight	Self Weight	F a c e	e	C _F	R _R	D _F	D _R	A _E	F	w	Ctrl. Face
ft	K	K							ft ²	K	klf	
L1 148.000-111.000	0.480	2.258	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	81.914	2.453	0.066	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	81.914			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	81.914			
L2 111.000-74.750	0.914	3.516	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	102.256	2.784	0.077	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	102.256			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	102.256			
L3 74.750-39.500	0.914	5.303	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	120.098	2.840	0.081	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	120.098			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	120.098			
L4 39.500-0.000	1.024	8.429	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	158.438	3.219	0.081	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	158.438			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	158.438			
Sum Weight:	3.331	19.507						OTM	796.848 kip-ft	11.296		

Tower Forces - With Ice - Wind Normal To Face

Section Elevation	Add Weight	Self Weight	F a c e	e	C _F	R _R	D _F	D _R	A _E	F	w	Ctrl. Face
ft	K	K							ft ²	K	klf	
L1 148.000-111.000	0.480	2.858	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	85.090	1.932	0.052	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	85.090			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	85.090			
L2 111.000-74.750	0.914	4.262	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	105.367	2.174	0.060	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	105.367			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	105.367			
L3 74.750-39.500	0.914	6.178	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	123.123	2.206	0.063	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	123.123			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	123.123			
L4 39.500-0.000	1.024	9.581	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	161.828	2.492	0.063	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	161.828			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	161.828			
Sum Weight:	3.331	22.879						OTM	623.260 kip-ft	8.804		

Tower Forces - With Ice - Wind 60 To Face

Section Elevation	Add Weight	Self Weight	F a c e	e	C _F	R _R	D _F	D _R	A _E	F	w	Ctrl. Face
ft	K	K							ft ²	K	klf	
L1 148.000-111.000	0.480	2.858	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	85.090	1.932	0.052	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	85.090			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	85.090			
L2 111.000-74.750	0.914	4.262	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	105.367	2.174	0.060	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	105.367			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	105.367			
L3 74.750-39.500	0.914	6.178	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	123.123	2.206	0.063	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	123.123			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	123.123			

ERITower Computerized Structural Design 8989 N. Port Washington Road Milwaukee, WI 53217 Phone: (414) 351-5588 FAX: (414) 351-4617	Job	Example #2	Page	8 of 14
	Project	Summit Pole	Date	13:11:42 12/22/03
	Client	CSD	Designed by	Dan Horn

Section Elevation	Add Weight	Self Weight	F a c e	e	C _F	R _R	D _F	D _R	A _E	F	w	Ctrl. Face
ft	K	K							ft ²	K	klf	
L4 39.500-0.000	1.024	9.581	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	161.828	2.492	0.063	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	161.828			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	161.828			
Sum Weight:	3.331	22.879						OTM	623.260 kip-ft	8.804		

Tower Forces - With Ice - Wind 90 To Face

Section Elevation	Add Weight	Self Weight	F a c e	e	C _F	R _R	D _F	D _R	A _E	F	w	Ctrl. Face
ft	K	K							ft ²	K	klf	
L1 148.000-111.000	0.480	2.858	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	85.090	1.932	0.052	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	85.090			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	85.090			
L2 111.000-74.750	0.914	4.262	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	105.367	2.174	0.060	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	105.367			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	105.367			
L3 74.750-39.500	0.914	6.178	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	123.123	2.206	0.063	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	123.123			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	123.123			
L4 39.500-0.000	1.024	9.581	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	161.828	2.492	0.063	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	161.828			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	161.828			
Sum Weight:	3.331	22.879						OTM	623.260 kip-ft	8.804		

Tower Forces - Service - Wind Normal To Face

Section Elevation	Add Weight	Self Weight	F a c e	e	C _F	R _R	D _F	D _R	A _E	F	w	Ctrl. Face
ft	K	K							ft ²	K	klf	
L1 148.000-111.000	0.480	2.258	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	81.914	0.849	0.023	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	81.914			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	81.914			
L2 111.000-74.750	0.914	3.516	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	102.256	0.963	0.027	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	102.256			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	102.256			
L3 74.750-39.500	0.914	5.303	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	120.098	0.983	0.028	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	120.098			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	120.098			
L4 39.500-0.000	1.024	8.429	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	158.438	1.114	0.028	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	158.438			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	158.438			
Sum Weight:	3.331	19.507						OTM	275.726 kip-ft	3.909		

ERITower Computerized Structural Design 8989 N. Port Washington Road Milwaukee, WI 53217 Phone: (414) 351-5588 FAX: (414) 351-4617	Job	Example #2	Page	9 of 14
	Project	Summit Pole	Date	13:11:42 12/22/03
	Client	CSD	Designed by	Dan Horn

Tower Forces - Service - Wind 60 To Face

Section Elevation	Add Weight	Self Weight	F a c e	e	C _F	R _R	D _F	D _R	A _E	F	w	Ctrl. Face
ft	K	K							ft ²	K	klf	
L1 148.000-111.000	0.480	2.258	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	81.914	0.849	0.023	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	81.914			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	81.914			
L2 111.000-74.750	0.914	3.516	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	102.256	0.963	0.027	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	102.256			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	102.256			
L3 74.750-39.500	0.914	5.303	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	120.098	0.983	0.028	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	120.098			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	120.098			
L4 39.500-0.000	1.024	8.429	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	158.438	1.114	0.028	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	158.438			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	158.438			
Sum Weight:	3.331	19.507						OTM	275.726 kip-ft	3.909		

Tower Forces - Service - Wind 90 To Face

Section Elevation	Add Weight	Self Weight	F a c e	e	C _F	R _R	D _F	D _R	A _E	F	w	Ctrl. Face
ft	K	K							ft ²	K	klf	
L1 148.000-111.000	0.480	2.258	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	81.914	0.849	0.023	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	81.914			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	81.914			
L2 111.000-74.750	0.914	3.516	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	102.256	0.963	0.027	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	102.256			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	102.256			
L3 74.750-39.500	0.914	5.303	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	120.098	0.983	0.028	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	120.098			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	120.098			
L4 39.500-0.000	1.024	8.429	A	1	0.65	1	1	1	158.438	1.114	0.028	C
			B	1	0.65	1	1	1	158.438			
			C	1	0.65	1	1	1	158.438			
Sum Weight:	3.331	19.507						OTM	275.726 kip-ft	3.909		

Force Totals

Load Case	Vertical Forces	Sum of Forces X	Sum of Forces Z	Sum of Overturning Moments, M _x	Sum of Overturning Moments, M _z	Sum of Torques
	K	K	K	kip-ft	kip-ft	kip-ft
Leg Weight	19.507					
Bracing Weight	0.000					
Total Member Self-Weight	19.507					
Total Weight	31.788					
Wind 0 deg - No Ice		0.000	-27.488	-2895.313	0.000	0.000
Wind 90 deg - No Ice		27.488	0.000	0.000	-2895.313	0.000

ERITower Computerized Structural Design 8989 N. Port Washington Road Milwaukee, WI 53217 Phone: (414) 351-5588 FAX: (414) 351-4617	Job Example #2	Page 10 of 14
	Project Summit Pole	Date 13:11:42 12/22/03
	Client CSD	Designed by Dan Horn

Load Case	Vertical Forces K	Sum of Forces X K	Sum of Forces Z K	Sum of Overturning Moments, M _x kip-ft	Sum of Overturning Moments, M _z kip-ft	Sum of Torques kip-ft
Wind 180 deg - No Ice		0.000	27.488	2895.313	0.000	0.000
Member Ice	3.373					
Total Weight Ice	37.800			0.000	0.000	
Wind 0 deg - Ice		0.000	-22.723	-2427.437	0.000	0.000
Wind 90 deg - Ice		22.723	0.000	0.000	-2427.437	0.000
Wind 180 deg - Ice		0.000	22.723	2427.437	0.000	0.000
Total Weight	31.788			0.000	0.000	
Wind 0 deg - Service		0.000	-9.511	-1001.839	0.000	0.000
Wind 90 deg - Service		9.511	0.000	0.000	-1001.839	0.000
Wind 180 deg - Service		0.000	9.511	1001.839	0.000	0.000

Load Combinations

Comb. No.	Description
1	Dead Only
2	Dead+Wind 0 deg - No Ice
3	Dead+Wind 90 deg - No Ice
4	Dead+Wind 180 deg - No Ice
5	Dead+Ice
6	Dead+Wind 0 deg+Ice
7	Dead+Wind 90 deg+Ice
8	Dead+Wind 180 deg+Ice
9	Dead+Wind 0 deg - Service
10	Dead+Wind 90 deg - Service
11	Dead+Wind 180 deg - Service

Maximum Member Forces

Section No.	Elevation ft	Component Type	Condition	Gov. Load Comb.	Force K	Major Axis Moment kip-ft	Minor Axis Moment kip-ft
L1	148 - 111	Pole	Max Tension	1	0.000	0.000	0.000
			Max. Compression	5	-11.662	0.000	0.000
			Max. Mx	3	-7.647	-322.622	0.000
			Max. My	2	-7.647	0.000	322.622
			Max. Vy	3	15.375	-322.622	0.000
			Max. Vx	2	-15.375	0.000	322.622
L2	111 - 74.75	Pole	Max Tension	1	0.000	0.000	0.000
			Max. Compression	5	-19.412	0.000	0.000
			Max. Mx	3	-14.072	-1022.554	0.000
			Max. My	2	-14.072	0.000	1022.554
			Max. Vy	3	22.047	-1022.554	0.000
			Max. Vx	2	-22.047	0.000	1022.554
L3	74.75 - 39.5	Pole	Max Tension	1	0.000	0.000	0.000
			Max. Compression	5	-26.110	0.000	0.000
			Max. Mx	3	-20.535	-1827.826	0.000
			Max. My	2	-20.535	0.000	1827.826
			Max. Vy	3	24.564	-1827.826	0.000
			Max. Vx	2	-24.564	0.000	1827.826
L4	39.5 - 0	Pole	Max Tension	1	0.000	0.000	0.000
			Max. Compression	5	-37.800	0.000	0.000
			Max. Mx	3	-31.766	-3000.597	0.000

ERITower Computerized Structural Design 8989 N. Port Washington Road Milwaukee, WI 53217 Phone: (414) 351-5588 FAX: (414) 351-4617	Job	Example #2	Page	11 of 14
	Project	Summit Pole	Date	13:11:42 12/22/03
	Client	CSD	Designed by	Dan Horn

Section No.	Elevation ft	Component Type	Condition	Gov. Load Comb.	Force K	Major Axis Moment kip-ft	Minor Axis Moment kip-ft
			Max. My	2	-31.766	0.000	3000.597
			Max. Vy	3	27.514	-3000.597	0.000
			Max. Vx	2	-27.514	0.000	3000.597

Maximum Reactions

Location	Condition	Gov. Load Comb.	Vertical K	Horizontal, X K	Horizontal, Z K
Pole	Max. Vert	6	37.800	0.000	22.723
	Max. H _x	11	31.788	0.000	-9.511
	Max. H _z	2	31.788	0.000	27.488
	Max. M _x	2	3000.597	0.000	27.488
	Max. M _z	3	3000.597	-27.488	0.000
	Max. Torsion	1	0.000	0.000	0.000
	Min. Vert	1	31.788	0.000	0.000
	Min. H _x	3	31.788	-27.488	0.000
	Min. H _z	4	31.788	0.000	-27.488
	Min. M _x	4	-3000.597	0.000	-27.488
	Min. M _z	1	0.000	0.000	0.000
	Min. Torsion	1	0.000	0.000	0.000

Tower Mast Reaction Summary

Load Combination	Vertical K	Shear _x K	Shear _z K	Overturning Moment, M _x kip-ft	Overturning Moment, M _z kip-ft	Torque kip-ft
Dead Only	31.788	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Dead+Wind 0 deg - No Ice	31.788	0.000	-27.488	-3000.597	0.000	0.000
Dead+Wind 90 deg - No Ice	31.788	27.488	0.000	0.000	-3000.597	0.000
Dead+Wind 180 deg - No Ice	31.788	0.000	27.488	3000.597	0.000	0.000
Dead+Ice	37.800	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Dead+Wind 0 deg+Ice	37.800	0.000	-22.723	-2541.816	0.000	0.000
Dead+Wind 90 deg+Ice	37.800	22.723	0.000	0.000	-2541.816	0.000
Dead+Wind 180 deg+Ice	37.800	0.000	22.723	2541.816	0.000	0.000
Dead+Wind 0 deg - Service	31.788	0.000	-9.511	-1040.041	0.000	0.000
Dead+Wind 90 deg - Service	31.788	9.511	0.000	0.000	-1040.041	0.000
Dead+Wind 180 deg - Service	31.788	0.000	9.511	1040.041	0.000	0.000

Solution Summary

Load Comb.	Sum of Applied Forces			Sum of Reactions			% Error
	PX K	PY K	PZ K	PX K	PY K	PZ K	
1	0.000	-31.788	0.000	0.000	31.788	0.000	0.000%
2	0.000	-31.788	-27.488	0.000	31.788	27.488	0.000%
3	27.488	-31.788	0.000	-27.488	31.788	0.000	0.000%
4	0.000	-31.788	27.488	0.000	31.788	-27.488	0.000%
5	0.000	-37.800	0.000	0.000	37.800	0.000	0.000%
6	0.000	-37.800	-22.723	0.000	37.800	22.723	0.000%

ERITower Computerized Structural Design 8989 N. Port Washington Road Milwaukee, WI 53217 Phone: (414) 351-5588 FAX: (414) 351-4617	Job	Example #2	Page	12 of 14
	Project	Summit Pole	Date	13:11:42 12/22/03
	Client	CSD	Designed by	Dan Horn

Load Comb.	Sum of Applied Forces			Sum of Reactions			% Error
	PX K	PY K	PZ K	PX K	PY K	PZ K	
7	22.723	-37.800	0.000	-22.723	37.800	0.000	0.000%
8	0.000	-37.800	22.723	0.000	37.800	-22.723	0.000%
9	0.000	-31.788	-9.511	0.000	31.788	9.511	0.000%
10	9.511	-31.788	0.000	-9.511	31.788	0.000	0.000%
11	0.000	-31.788	9.511	0.000	31.788	-9.511	0.000%

Non-Linear Convergence Results

Load Combination	Converged?	Number of Cycles	Displacement Tolerance	Force Tolerance
1	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00000001
2	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00030937
3	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00030937
4	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00030937
5	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00000001
6	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00064930
7	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00064930
8	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00064930
9	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00011362
10	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00011362
11	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00011362

Maximum Tower Deflections - Service Wind

Section No.	Elevation ft	Horz. Deflection in	Gov. Load Comb.	Tilt deg	Twist deg
L1	148 - 111	38.358	10	2.254	0.000
L2	114.75 - 74.75	23.318	10	1.984	0.000
L3	79.5 - 39.5	10.813	10	1.328	0.000
L4	45 - 0	3.377	10	0.692	0.000

Critical Deflections and Radius of Curvature - Service Wind

Elevation ft	Appurtenance	Gov. Load Comb.	Deflection in	Tilt deg	Twist deg	Radius of Curvature ft
152.000	Lighting Rod 5/8x4'	10	38.358	2.254	0.000	24812
150.000	(12) DB874H	10	38.358	2.254	0.000	24812
137.000	(12) DB874H	10	33.207	2.189	0.000	11278
122.000	(12) DB874H	10	26.417	2.068	0.000	4770
107.000	(12) DB874H	10	20.188	1.871	0.000	3523

Maximum Tower Deflections - Design Wind

ERITower Computerized Structural Design 8989 N. Port Washington Road Milwaukee, WI 53217 Phone: (414) 351-5588 FAX: (414) 351-4617	Job Example #2	Page 13 of 14
	Project Summit Pole	Date 13:11:42 12/22/03
	Client CSD	Designed by Dan Horn

Section No.	Elevation ft	Horz. Deflection in	Gov. Load Comb.	Tilt deg	Twist deg
L1	148 - 111	110.407	2	6.491	0.000
L2	114.75 - 74.75	67.162	3	5.717	0.000
L3	79.5 - 39.5	31.169	3	3.828	0.000
L4	45 - 0	9.739	2	1.995	0.000

Critical Deflections and Radius of Curvature - Design Wind

Elevation ft	Appurtenance	Gov. Load Comb.	Deflection in	Tilt deg	Twist deg	Radius of Curvature ft
152.000	Lighthing Rod 5/8x4'	2	110.407	6.491	0.000	8813
150.000	(12) DB874H	2	110.407	6.491	0.000	8813
137.000	(12) DB874H	3	95.600	6.309	0.000	4004
122.000	(12) DB874H	3	76.075	5.962	0.000	1691
107.000	(12) DB874H	3	58.157	5.382	0.000	1244

Base Plate Design Data

Plate Thickness in	Number of Anchor Bolts	Anchor Bolt Size in	Actual Allowable Ratio Bolt Tension K	Actual Allowable Ratio Concrete Stress ksi	Actual Allowable Ratio Plate Stress ksi	Actual Allowable Ratio Stiffener Stress ksi	Controlling Condition	Critical Ratio
2.500	28	1.500	73.595 103.501 0.71	3.064 2.800 1.09	34.738 27.000 1.29		Plate	1.29 ✓

Compression Checks

Pole Design Data

Section No.	Elevation ft	Size	L ft	L _u ft	Kl/r	F _a ksi	A in ²	Actual P K	Allow. P _a K	Ratio P P _a
L1	148 - 111 (1)	TP29.586x22x0.219	37.000	0.000	0.0	39.000	19.856	-7.647	774.393	0.010
L2	111 - 74.75 (2)	TP36.58x28.38x0.25	40.000	0.000	0.0	39.000	28.055	-14.072	1094.150	0.013
L3	74.75 - 39.5 (3)	TP43.307x35.106x0.313	40.000	0.000	0.0	39.000	41.527	-20.535	1619.540	0.013
L4	39.5 - 0 (4)	TP50.78x41.554x0.375	45.000	0.000	0.0	39.000	59.995	-31.766	2339.790	0.014

ERITower Computerized Structural Design 8989 N. Port Washington Road Milwaukee, WI 53217 Phone: (414) 351-5588 FAX: (414) 351-4617	Job Example #2	Page 14 of 14
	Project Summit Pole	Date 13:11:42 12/22/03
	Client CSD	Designed by Dan Horn

Pole Bending Design Data

Section No.	Elevation ft	Size	Actual	Actual	Allow.	Ratio	Actual	Actual	Allow.	Ratio
			M_x kip-ft	f_{bx} ksi	F_{bx} ksi	$\frac{f_{bx}}{F_{bx}}$	M_y kip-ft	f_{by} ksi	F_{by} ksi	$\frac{f_{by}}{F_{by}}$
L1	148 - 111 (1)	TP29.586x22x0.219	322.622	-27.623	39.000	0.708	0.000	0.000	39.000	0.000
L2	111 - 74.75 (2)	TP36.58x28.38x0.25	1022.55	-50.093	39.000	1.284	0.000	0.000	39.000	0.000
L3	74.75 - 39.5 (3)	TP43.307x35.106x0.313	1827.82	-51.106	39.000	1.310	0.000	0.000	39.000	0.000
L4	39.5 - 0 (4)	TP50.78x41.554x0.375	3000.60	-48.233	39.000	1.237	0.000	0.000	39.000	0.000

Pole Interaction Design Data

Section No.	Elevation ft	Size	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Comb. Stress Ratio	Allow. Stress Ratio	Criteria
			$\frac{P}{P_a}$	$\frac{f_{bx}}{F_{bx}}$	$\frac{f_{by}}{F_{by}}$			
L1	148 - 111 (1)	TP29.586x22x0.219	0.010	0.708	0.000	0.718 ✓	1.333	H1-3 ✓
L2	111 - 74.75 (2)	TP36.58x28.38x0.25	0.013	1.284	0.000	1.297 ✓	1.333	H1-3 ✓
L3	74.75 - 39.5 (3)	TP43.307x35.106x0.313	0.013	1.310	0.000	1.323 ✓	1.333	H1-3 ✓
L4	39.5 - 0 (4)	TP50.78x41.554x0.375	0.014	1.237	0.000	1.250 ✓	1.333	H1-3 ✓

Section Capacity Table

Section No.	Elevation ft	Component Type	Size	Critical Element	P K	$SF * P_{allow}$ K	% Capacity	Pass Fail
L1	148 - 111	Pole	TP29.586x22x0.219	1	-7.647	1032.266	53.9	Pass
L2	111 - 74.75	Pole	TP36.58x28.38x0.25	2	-14.072	1458.502	97.3	Pass
L3	74.75 - 39.5	Pole	TP43.307x35.106x0.313	3	-20.535	2158.847	99.3	Pass
L4	39.5 - 0	Pole	TP50.78x41.554x0.375	4	-31.766	3118.940	93.8	Pass
Summary								
Pole (L3)							99.3	Pass
Baseplate							96.5	Pass
Checks								
RATING =							99.3	Pass